IINSPIRE LSAMP 2018-2019 Annual Conference
Research Poster Presentation Rubric

Presenter/Poster ID: _____   **Date:** _____   **Evaluator:** _____

Criterion	Excellent 5	Good 4-3	Average 3-2	Deficient 1	Score
Background & Significance	• Clearly describes rationale for the study • Places research in larger scientific and societal contexts • Research is impactful and significantly advances knowledge within the broader context of science and society	Some elements deficient or missing	Many, but not most, elements deficient or missing	• Rationale for study is unclear • Poor case for importance of research • Novelty of research question or methods is unclear • Conclusions are lacking in significance to science and society	
Research Design	• Clearly and briefly explains methods so they are understandable • Introduces and defines new terms, concepts, methods • Does not assume audience knows research methods • Statistical analyses are appropriate	Some elements deficient or missing	Many, but not most, elements deficient or missing	• Methods are confusing, not easily understood • New terms, methods, concepts are not defined or explained • Causes confusion for the audience • Statistical analyses are inappropriate	
Organization	• Logical flow is present: Background, Research Question, Methods, Results, Conclusions • Fluid transitions from one topic to the next	Some elements deficient or missing	Many, but not most, elements deficient or missing	• Jumpy or sporadic flow; presentation is difficult to follow; not sequential • Transitions are awkward or sudden	
Delivery	• Clear speech with appropriate tempo • Demonstrates excellent knowledge about the subject and project • No distractive movements or gestures • Maintained audience attention with eye contact, voice inflection, facial expression • Avoided jargon and used simple language; talk was targeted appropriately to the audience	Some elements deficient or missing	Many, but not most, elements deficient or missing	• Tempo was either too fast or too slow • Struggles with basic concepts of both subject and project • Speaker had distractive movement • Speaker didn't engage with the audience • Speech was full of jargon and not targeted appropriately to the audience • No eye contact	
Visual Aids & Technical Aspects	• Well-constructed, easy-to-interpret images, figures, & tables that are used effectively • Poster is easy to read and not overcrowded • Appealing color scheme with no typos and appropriately-sized font • Excellent use and design of figures and graphs	Some elements deficient or missing	Many, but not most, elements deficient or missing	• Too difficult to read • Poor color choices • Images, figures, & tables difficult to read or interpret • Figures and graphs do not convey what is stated • Many editorial errors and typos	
Ability to Field Questions	• Stimulated interesting • questions, not just clarification of the technical aspects of the work • Repeated or paraphrased questions and answered them appropriately • Demonstrated a depth of knowledge about the field and was able to critically apply this knowledge to own work	Some elements deficient or missing	Many, but not most, elements deficient or missing	• Few questions generated about the content beyond clarification of technical aspects • Answered questions incompletely • Became flustered or frustrated during the questioning	
				TOTAL:	

Comments: